

The data consists of three parts. The first part elicited $c_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $c_{\frac{1}{4}}$, $c_{\frac{3}{4}}$, $c_{\frac{1}{8}}$, and $c_{\frac{7}{8}}$ from the indifferencees following equation (7) (p. 1480), plus two separability check questions following Proposition 1 (p. 1479). They are recorded in columns $DM_{0.5}$, $DM_{0.25}$, $DM_{0.75}$, $DM_{0.125}$, $DM_{0.875}$, $S1_{0.5}$, and $S2_{0.75}$ in the data file, respectively. Appendix B describes the rounding details. The column “*Group*” records the type of counterbalancing of the DM and the UM methods. If $Group=1$, the DM part comes before the UM part.

The second part of the data concerns seven elicited questions of the type $90_30 \sim \lambda_j 0$ with weeks $j = 4, 12, 20, 28, 36, 44,$ and 52 . These questions are in columns from UM_1 to UM_7 .

The third part of the data concerns 20 elicited certainty equivalents of the prospects presented below, with the corresponding column names in the data file. The 20 prospects were presented to subjects in an individually randomized order. The order is recorded in column “*order*”.

The last four columns give the Nationality, Gender, Age and Field of study of each subject. The data file “*Excluded(N=7).csv*” provides the data of the 7 subjects that we excluded from the data analysis.

The following page lists the certainty equivalents.

Column name	p	x₁	x₂	Column name	p	x₁	x₂
<i>CE_1</i>	0.10	200	100	<i>CE_11</i>	0.25	500	200
<i>CE_2</i>	0.50	200	100	<i>CE_12</i>	0.50	500	200
<i>CE_3</i>	0.90	200	100	<i>CE_13</i>	0.75	500	200
<i>CE_4</i>	0.05	400	100	<i>CE_14</i>	0.95	500	200
<i>CE_5</i>	0.25	400	100	<i>CE_15</i>	0.05	1500	500
<i>CE_6</i>	0.50	400	100	<i>CE_16</i>	0.50	100	0
<i>CE_7</i>	0.75	400	100	<i>CE_17</i>	0.50	200	0
<i>CE_8</i>	0.95	400	100	<i>CE_18</i>	0.05	400	0
<i>CE_9</i>	0.05	500	200	<i>CE_19</i>	0.95	500	0
<i>CE_10</i>	0.10	1500	0	<i>CE_20</i>	0.25	400	0