## COMMIT at SemEval-2017 Task 5: Ontology-based Method for Sentiment Analysis of Financial Headlines Kim Schouten, Flavius Frasincar, and Franciska de Jong

schouten@ese.eur.nl, frasincar@ese.eur.nl, f.m.g.dejong@eshcc.eur.nl

### Abstract

- > Task 5: Fine-Grained Sentiment Analysis on Financial Microblogs and News > This work only addresses track 2: News Headlines
- > Base approach: SVM for regression with

# Data Snippet



### General

Increase  $\cap$  PosEntity  $\rightarrow$  Positive Increase  $\cap$  NegEntity  $\rightarrow$  Negative Decrease  $\cap$  PosEntity  $\rightarrow$  Negative Decrease  $\cap$  NegEntity  $\rightarrow$  Positive

#### Custom

Close  $\cap$  Deal  $\rightarrow$  Positive Close  $\cap$  CompanyPart  $\rightarrow$  Negative Open  $\cap$  CompanyPart  $\rightarrow$  Positive

# **Ontology Features**

Lemmas in the text are looked up in a manually created domain ontology, and discovered concepts, as well as all superclasses, are added to the feature set (bag model).

When necessary, the reasoner infers the sentiment of concept combinations.

be able to differentiate between То two

<b>Open</b> and		
CompanyPart		
SubClassOf		
Positive	CompanyPart	

# Results

Official test data results: cosine distance of **0.6810** (**12**th position) The results below are obtained using 10-fold cross-validation on the training data, to test the effect of the different types of features:

		avg. cosine		
		distance	st.dev.	
	base (B)	0.6311	0.0482	
	B + entities (E)	0.6361	0.0455	
	B + properties (P)	0.6300	0.0478	
	B + actions (A)	0.6815	0.0498	
	B + E + P + A	0.6883	0.0502	
	B + E + P + A +	0.7041	0.0450	
	class axioms			
B + E + P + A +		0.7050	0.0441	
class axioms +				
	company-specific			
	sentiment			
	IT/infiniti			

companies with opposing sentiment in the same headline, we add a companyspecific sentiment feature, where we get the sentiment from the ontology of concepts denoted by words directly related to the company mention in the dependency graph.

zafing